

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,721	09/26/2003	Robert H. Wollenberg	T-6074	9409	
34014	7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER		
CHEVRON 7 P.O. BOX 600	TEXACO CORPORA	SHOSHO, CALLIE E			
SAN RAMON, CA 94583-0806			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1714		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				lr			
	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	. ——			
	10/672,7	21	WOLLENBERG ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
	Callie E. S		1714	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet	with the correspondence addre)ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no evolution of will apply and witte, cause the apply and witters, cause the apply and witters.	HIS COMMUN ent, however, may fill expire SIX (6) MO dication to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	——· his action is n	ion-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election r	equirement.					
Application Papers	•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> i	s/are: a)⊠ a	accepted or b)	objected to by the Examin	er.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· · · · · ·	_ · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		-		1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority un	dor 25 11 5 C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(a) or (t).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ente have had	n ropolyod		í			
			Application No.				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bure		•	ir received iir tilis Matioriai Sta	ige			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	` ''	t received				
	0.01.110.0011	nod dopioo ne	. 10001100.				
		-		•			
Attachment(s)			·				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 			Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/26/03</u> .	·	6)					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date :	20060320			
•			*				

Application Number: 10/672,721 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: words appear to be missing in line 7 after "and". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claim 1 recites the limitation "the contacting" in the second line after the formula.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- (b) Claim 1 recites "reacting equivalent" line 4 after the formula. The scope of the claim is confusing because it is not clear what is meant by this phrase or what materials are encompassed by this phrase. While it is noted that page 23, lines 24-25 of the present specification discloses that "reacting equivalent" means any material equivalent to ethylene glycol and carbon monoxide such as carbon acid half ester, the scope of the claim is still

Art Unit: 1714

confusing because it is not clear what is meant by equivalent or when materials are considered to be equivalent to ethylene glycol and carbon dioxide.

Similar confusion arises in claims 11 and 21 which each also recite "reacting equivalent".

- (c) Claim 32 discloses that the temperature of the system is raised "if necessary". The scope of the claim if confusing because it is not clear what is meant by "if necessary" or when it would be necessary to raise the temperature. Clarification is requested.
- (d) Claim 35 recites heating the fourth reaction mixture of step (d) under "reduced pressure". The scope of the claim is confusing because it is not clear what is meant by "reduced pressure" or what pressures this encompasses.
- (e) Claims 40-43 each recite "predominantly straight chain alkyl group". The scope of the claim is confusing because it is not clear what is meant by "predominantly" or what types of straight chain alkyl groups are considered "predominantly" straight chain.
- (f) Claim 44 recites that the alkyl group of the alkyphenol is "attached predominantly" at the para position of the phenol ring. The scope of the claim is confusing because it is not clear what is meant by "attached predominantly". Clarification is requested.
- (g) Claim 61 recites the limitation "the material" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application Number: 10/672,721 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 63-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwabe et al. (U.S. 6,187,972).

Kwabe et al. disclose process for in situ delivery of equimolar quantities of alkylene glycol and carbon dioxide to a reaction mixture wherein the process comprises delivering to the mixture alkylene carbonate such as ethylene carbonate including that comprising methyl group in the presence of water wherein the alkylene carbonate hydrolyzes at temperature of 50-200 °C to produce alkylene glycol and carbon dioxide (col.2, lines 21-25 and 31-33, col.4, lines 10-47, col.5, lines 52-62, and col.6, lines 49-51).

In light of the above, it is clear that Kwabe et al. anticipate the present claims

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chang et al. (U.S. 4,865,754) discloses overbased sulfurized alkylphenol with color less than 3.5, however, there is no disclosure of TBN as required in present claim 61. Further, no disclosure of process for preparing the overbased sulfurized alkylphenol comprising the use of ethylene carbonate as presently claimed.

Application Number: 10/672,721

Art Unit: 1714

GB 2197336 disclose method of preparing Group II metal overbased sulfurized alkylphenol comprising reacting higher alkyl group substituted phenol, oil-soluble Group II metal overbased hydrocarbyl sulfate, higher alkanol, a Group II metal oxide, hydroxide, or alkoxide, sulfur, alkylene glycol, carbon dioxide, and catalyst. However, there is no disclosure or suggestion of the use of ethylene carbonate as required in all the present claims. Rather, GB 2197336 utilizes combination of ethylene glycol and carbon dioxide.

Erdman et al. (U.S. 4,927,551) disclose method of preparing Group II metal overbased sulfurized alkylphenol comprising reacting sulfurized alkyl phenol, alkanol comprising at least 8 carbons, Group II metal oxide, hydroxide, or alkoxide, C₂-C₄ alkylene glycol, and carbon dioxide. However, there is no disclosure or suggestion of the use of ethylene carbonate as required in all the present claims. Rather, Erdman et al. utilizes combination of ethylene glycol and carbon dioxide.

NOTE: It is noted that rejections of claims 56-62 drawn to product are not envisioned over GB 2197336 or Erdman et al. in light of the comparative data set forth in the present specification. Specifically, tables III and IV compare Group II metal overbased sulfurized alkylphenol within the scope of the present claims, i.e. prepared using ethylene carbonate, with Group II metal overbased sulfurized alkylphenol outside the scope of the present claims but within the scope of the prior art, i.e. prepared using ethylene glycol and carbon dioxide. It is shown that the presently claimed Group II metal overbased sulfurized alkylphenol is superior in terms of hydrolytic stability and color.

Art Unit: 1714

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 571-272-1123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Callie E. Shosho
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

Page 6

CS 3/20/06